

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	ī			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,192	08/25/2003	Douglas M. Dygert	A8850	1036
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/19/200	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	E 800 HINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
				. ·
	·		MAIL DATE •	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	07/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/647,192	DYGERT, DOUGLAS M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suzanne E. McDowell	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the present of the specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 April 2007.	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-13 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are wi 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-13 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. brrection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appl priority documents have been rec ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumi				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date mal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/647,192

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

 The claims do not contain any units.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1- 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beck et al. (US Patent 6,126,886). Beck et al. discloses the claimed limitations as follows: forming a wide-mouth container (1) with a threaded finish by preheating a preform (5)(column 55-58); stretching and blowing to form an intermediate article (14); and severing the accommodation element (10), wherein the container (1) has screw threads (12). Regarding claim 1, Beck et al. discloses that the threaded portion of the container (1) has a wall thickness of 0.015-0.060 inches (column 5, lines 20-32). Regarding claims 2-4, a comparison of Figs. 1 and 3 appears to depict the claimed ratios. Regarding claim 5, the preform is preheated. Regarding claim 6, the mold temperature is about 40°F to 55°F (column 4, lines 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,192

Art Unit: 1732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck et al. (US Patent 6,126,886). Beck et al. discloses the claimed limitations as follows: forming a wide-mouth container (1) with a threaded finish by preheating a preform (5)(column 55-58); stretching and blowing to form an intermediate article (14); and severing the accommodation element (10), wherein the container (1) has screw threads (12). Regarding claims 10 and 12, Beck et al. does not specifically teach that the preform wall thickness in a thread forming region is within the range of 0.1555-0.1790 (presumably inches). A comparison of Figs. 1 and 3 in Beck et al. does appear to depict that the ratio of the wall thickness of the preform compared to the wall thickness of the finished container is around 4 or 5. Further, Beck et al. does teach that the wall thickness of the finished container is 0.015-0.060 inches. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose a preform wall thickness of approximately 0.15-.18 inches, as determined by routine experimentation with the ratios and thicknesses depicted by Beck et al. The motivation to further define the method taught by Beck et al. is to choose a perform wall thickness that will withstand the blowing method, while at the same time not using too much material, and result in the wall thickness of the finished container as taught by Beck et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,192

Art Unit: 1732

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Suzanne E. McDowell/
Suzanne E. McDowell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732